

Subject: Life before the Auto Pact/US-Canada Free Trade Agreement/NAFTA/CUMCA Agreement

Although the legality of President Trump's tariffs are working their way through the U.S. Supreme Court, it is likely that in some way shape or form there will be tariffs on Canadian goods including autos.

So let's take a look at what occurred before we had various free trade agreements – what did the Canadian manufacturing and tariff policy look like then?

The first large scale production of autos in Canada started in 1904 in Walkerville (now part of Windsor), Ontario and produced the Ford Model C car.¹ Although there were many attempts to build a domestic Canadian car manufacturer, Canada lacked the [population](#), financial capacity and technological capacity to sustain a domestic industry.² Canadian car production mainly consisted of branch plants of American automotive companies making vehicles for the Canadian market. The cars produced in Canada were manufactured behind a tariff wall – vehicles that were produced in Canada did not pay the tariff while any vehicle imported did have the tariff.

This is very similar to what President Donald Trump is proposing for the United States – if you produce goods in America, there is not a tariff. If you import the good, the tariff applies. So what did the manufacturing landscape look like, in Canada, when we had our auto industry protected behind tariffs?

The tariffs on cars and car parts raised the domestic prices of cars to 29 to 54% higher than what the same car was sold for in the United States.³ However, there was great increase in the investment in Canadian plants to assemble vehicles and produce auto parts (the total capital invested in the Canadian automotive industry doubled in the 1920 from \$40 million to \$98 million in the decade).⁴ So the Canadian market did have substantial production (and part of this production was exported to the United Kingdom and other Commonwealth nations), but it would be characterized as high cost and inefficient with many auto companies producing a “Canadian” version of a vehicle copied from a US design.⁵

Various federal governments tried a variety of policies to change this to 1) lower prices of car in Canada and 2) keep and increase Canadian production of vehicles. Multiple governments allowed tariff free entry of vehicles into Canada, if that vehicle manufacture used a certain percentage of Canadian produced parts in the vehicles made in Canada. Ultimately, in the 1960, a plan was developed where the percentage of Canadian made parts in each Canadian made vehicle could drop below 60% yet as long as the manufacture made enough other parts that their total Canadian value added reached 60 percent of their total output in Canada, a vehicle could be imported from the United States at a dramatically reduced tariff rate. This change led to a dramatic increase in the amount of auto parts that were manufactured in Canada and exported to the United States and led to economies of scale.

¹ [History - CVMA](#)

² [Automotive Industry | The Canadian Encyclopedia](#)

³ https://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection_2018/mstc-cstm/NM33-1-15-eng.pdf

⁴ https://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection_2018/mstc-cstm/NM33-1-15-eng.pdf

⁵ https://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection_2018/mstc-cstm/NM33-1-15-eng.pdf

In time, U.S auto parts makers did not like this arrangement. Many parts suppliers demanded that this was an unfair trade practice and demanded the U.S government impose a countervailing duty on incoming Canadian parts. As a compromised (and to avoid an international incident the two federal governments put together a new set of terms for automotive trade – this agreement, formally known as the Automotive Products Trade Agreement (better known as the Auto Pact) was signed in January 1965 and led to an entirely new trading environment.

This agreement provided for completely duty-free passage of automotive vehicles and parts between Canada and the United States, with the largest provision from the Canadian perspective that products entering the US from Canada had to be at least 50 percent North American content. This was to prohibit other countries from exporting to the United States through Canada. Products entering Canada from the United States faced much more complex requirements. First, a Canadian automotive manufacturer could import vehicles according to the terms of the agreement – to enjoy duty free provisions, a manufacturer had to maintain its Canadian production at the level it had been the year before the agreement was signed (1964). Further, the Canadian government made separate agreements with the auto manufacturers where the Canadian subsidiaries of the US companies to carry out at least 60 percent of their future Canadian growth in Canada.

This agreement clearly favoured Canada. There could be a variety of reasons for this – possibly the US government thought this be temporary and the agreement would be updated. Further, the US government may have wished to gain the Canadian government support for their policy on Cyprus (Greek and Turkish Cypriots clashed in Cyprus in 1964 and required the intervention of the United Nations)⁶. However, because of this agreement, the Canadian auto industry soared – everything in the Canadian industry rose in the 1960's – employment numbers, value of vehicle assembly, and value of parts manufacturers.

It should be pointed out that the Auto Pact was not free trade – it was managed trade - only if the industry did exactly what government told it to do and if the numbers and ratios all added up properly, they would be allowed tariff free imports of vehicles.

During negotiations over the [Canada-U.S. free trade deal in the 1980s](#) and NAFTA in the 1990s, both sides agreed to phase out several remaining tariffs and duty remissions, especially tariffs on vehicles manufactured by automakers who weren't part of the deal. This primarily affected Asian and European producers so long as they included 50 per cent North American content, which led to the rise of their production lines here.⁷

So now back to the original question – what would the auto sector look like if we don't have free trade and if tariffs are imposed by the US government? I suspect that it would look much like the late 1950's early 1960 auto sector where the Canadian government would enact substantial tariffs on any import of vehicles to protect Canadian automotive jobs. This would raise the price of Canadian vehicles for consumers and lead to greater inefficiencies in the economy.

It should also be pointed out, as I reviewed/researched this topic, that in the past, the larger relationship between countries was important. As noted above, the U.S. government may have

⁶ [34. Cyprus \(1960-present\)](#)

⁷ [How the 1965 Auto Pact kicked off Canada-U.S. free trade | TVO Today](#)

been willing to assist the Canadian auto sector in return for the Canadian government's support for the U.S. position on Cyprus. In the past, certain countries had deeper relationships (think of the U.S. and Britain, Canada and the U.S., Norway/Sweden/Finland, etc.) which transcended individual disagreements or issues. There was a bigger picture relationship which was important. It is clear that the relationships between nations have dramatically changed.

I will leave you with a quote from U.S. President Lyndon Johnson in his official statement after finalizing Canada – United States Automotive Products Agreement in October 1965.

“Our ties with Canada are historically close, our relations cordial, our people durable friends. This agreement, originating in our common interest, arrived at for our common benefit, will make those ties even closer and more fruitful for the future.”⁸

If you have any questions or concerns, please let me know.

Don

The opinions, estimates and projections contained herein are those of the author as of the date hereof and are subject to change without notice and may not reflect those of BMO Nesbitt Burns Inc. ("BMO NBI"). Every effort has been made to ensure that the contents have been compiled or derived from sources believed to be reliable and contain information and opinions that are accurate and complete. Information may be available to BMO NBI or its affiliates that is not reflected herein. However, neither the author nor BMO NBI makes any representation or warranty, express or implied, in respect thereof, takes any responsibility for any errors or omissions which may be contained herein or accepts any liability whatsoever for any loss arising from any use of or reliance on this report or its contents. This report is not to be construed as an offer to sell or a solicitation for or an offer to buy any securities. BMO NBI, its affiliates and/or their respective officers, directors or employees may from time to time acquire, hold or sell securities mentioned herein as principal or agent. BMO NBI -will buy from or sell to customers securities of issuers mentioned herein on a principal basis. BMO NBI, its affiliates, officers, directors or employees may have a long or short position in the securities discussed herein, related securities or in options, futures or other derivative instruments based thereon. BMO NBI or its affiliates may act as financial advisor and/or underwriter for the issuers mentioned herein and may receive remuneration for same. A significant lending relationship may exist between Bank of Montreal, or its affiliates, and certain of the issuers mentioned herein. BMO NBI is a wholly owned subsidiary of Bank of Montreal. Any U.S. person wishing to effect transactions in any security discussed herein should do so through BMO Nesbitt Burns Corp. Member-Canadian Investor Protection Fund.

⁸ [How the 1965 Auto Pact kicked off Canada-U.S. free trade | TVO Today](#)